

Serial #: FI20120507000010

May 7, 2012

ENGINEERING LABORATORY TEST REPORT

Send to: Client #:	Canplas Industries 500 Veterans Driv Barrie ON L4M 4 Canada Attn: Tim Bach C0006197	e	Plant: Plant #:	Canplas Industries Ltd. 31 Patterson Road Barrie ON L4M 4V3 Canada Attn: Mrs. Elizabeth Miller C0006199				
NSF Job#:		J-00111495						
Description	of Test Sample:	Model GT700 Grease Interceptor (35 gpm)						
Sample Rec	ceived:	April 13, 2012 – Submitted in good condition by client						
Date of Tes	t:	April 17, 2012						
Location of Test:		NSF International,	Ann Arbor, M	MI				
Test Protoc	ol:	PDI G101-2010 Grease Interceptors - Special testing for South Africa performed with a 1-1/2" P-trap instead of a flow control orifice.						
Results:		COMPLETE						
Report Aut	horization:	Manager, Engineer	ring Laborato	ry				

This report shall not be reproduced, except in its entirety, without the written approval of NSF. This report does not represent NSF Certification or authorization to use the NSF Mark.



Test Report: J-00111495

PDI G101 Section 5.1 Media Analysis

COMPLETE

pH value	n/a
Lard specific gravity	0.874
Viscosity	6.83 cP

PDI G101 Section 5.4 Flow Rate Verification

Serial #: FI20120507000010

Not Applicable

Type of Grease Interceptor	N/A	
Size of Flow Controller	None	inches
Flow Time 1 Sink 1+2	N/A	sec
Flow Time 2 Sink 1+2	N/A	sec
Flow Time 3 Sink 1+2	N/A	sec
Flow Time Average Sink 1+2	N/A	sec
Flow Rate Average Sink 1+2	N/A	gpm
Deviation from Req Average Sink 1+2	N/A	%
Flow Time 1 Sink 2+1	N/A	sec
Flow Time 2 Sink 2+ 1	N/A	sec
Flow Time 3 Sink 2+ 1	N/A	sec
Flow Time Average Sink 2+1	N/A	sec
Flow Rate Average Sink 2+1 (gpm)	N/A	gpm
Deviation from Req Average Sink 2+1	N/A	%
Max allowable deviation from average	N/A	%
Max allowable deviation between runs	N/A	%
Max deviation between runs	N/A	%
Flow rate acceptable?	N/A	

Note: Flow rates were controlled by a 1-1/2 inch P-trap and not calibrated with an external flow control orifice. Refer to Figure 1.



Serial #: FI20120507000010

Test Report: J-00111495

PDI G101 Section 7 Rating Test (Grease Retention Capacity)

PASS

Model	GT700 (35 gpm)			
Flow		GPM		
Flow Restrictor ID	None	inches		
Breakdown Increment Number	12			
Pounds Grease Retained at Breakdown	81.90	lbs.		
Incremental Efficiency	96.7	%		
Average Efficiency	98.0	%		
Required Total Amount of Grease Retained	78.75	lbs.		
Actual Total Amount of Grease Retained	81.90	lbs.		

Note: The flow rate through the 1-1/2" P-trap was significantly lower than required by the PDI G101 test prototocol.



Figure 1 - P-trap (1-1/2 inch pipe size)

Serial #: FI20120507000010

Test Report: J-00111495



Figure 2 - GT700 Grease Interceptor

					TING TES											
Interceptor ID Canplas GT700 (GI 35) Grease Interceptor									Report No.: J-00111495							
Capacity No. 1 35 Test Vehicle:					******* Flow Control Data *******					Page 5 of 5						
Capacity No. 2 35 Spec. Gravity: 0.874				Observers: Jon McGaugh				Test Date: 4/17/2012								
Separate No. 1 na Viscosity: 6.83 cP							7	Γrey Allen	Notes: Drainage ga	luged on						
Separate No. 2 na Test Temperature: 150-160 ° F									clear compartment.							
						Orifice Size: 1 1/2" P-trap					Tabulated "amoun	ts retained"				
Simul	taneou	IS	23.4	Test	t Tempera	ture: 150-		Air Intake:						is a calculation of Added minus		
						****	**** INCREM	IENTAL ****			*****ACCUML			"Skimmed."		
									100 = efficiency (drop-skim) / drop x 100 = efficiency				Tabulated "skim ar	mounts"		
No.	Test	Clear	Sec.		Rate:GPM	lb. Added	lb. Skimmed	lb. Retained	Efficiency Efficiency	lb. Added	lb. Skimmed	lb. Retained	Efficiency	includes pro-rata add	dition for	
1	1	2	2 17	1.28	23.3	7	0.00	7.00	100.0	7.00	0.00	7.00	100	reclaimed from skim	tank after	
2	2	•	1 16	9.17	23.6	7	0.10	6.90	98.6	14.00	0.10	13.90	99	chilling.		
3	1	2	2 17	0.36	23.4	7	0.14	6.86	98.0	21.00	0.24	20.76	99	All weights taken aft	er de-	
4	2		1 16	8.29	23.7	7	0.17	6.83	97.6	28.00	0.41	27.59	99	watering by Separate	ory funnel	
5	1	2	2 17	1.46	23.3	7	0.16	6.84	97.7	35.00	0.57	34.43	98	chilling.		
6	2	•	1 16	9.15	23.6	7	0.18	6.82	97.4	42.00	0.75	41.25	98	Summary & Adjust	ed Results	
7	1	2	2 17	0.74	23.4	7	0.26	6.74	96.3	49.00	1.01	47.99	98	based on the totals	at the	
8	2	•	1 16	8.97	23.6	7	0.26	6.74	96.3	56.00	1.27	54.73	98	increment when		
9	1	2	2 17:	3.68	23.0	7	0.20	6.80	97.1	63.00	1.47	61.53	98	Grease retained eq	uals 2 1/4_	
10	2	•	1 17	1.10	23.3	7	0.22	6.78	96.9	70.00	1.69	68.31	98	times rated capacit	y	
11	1	4	2 17	3.61	23.0	7	0.17	6.83	97.6	77.00	1.86	75.14	98	=	78.75	
12	2	•	1 17	6.94	22.6	7	0.23	6.77	96.7	84.00	2.09	81.91	98	Increment No.	12	
13	1	4	2											1) Total Skimmed:	2.09	
14	2	•	1											2) Total Retained:	81.91	
15	1	2	2											3) Total Added:	84.00	
16	2	•	1											Eff. = $(line 3 - line 1)$) / line 3	
17	1	2	2											Efficiency % =	97.5	
18	2	•	1													
19	1	2	2											Summary and Adju	sted	
20	2	•	1											Results based on t	he totals	
21	1	2	2											at Break down poir	nt.	
22	2	•	1											Break down		
23	1		2											Increment No.	12	
24	2		1											Pounds Retained :	81.91	
25	1		2											1) Total Skimmed :	2.09	
26	2		1											2) Total Retained :	81.91	
27	1		2											3) Total Added:	84.00	
28	2		1											Eff. = $(line 3 - line 1)$		
29	1		2											Efficiency % =	97.5	
30	2		1													
31	1	-	2											GPM: N/A		
Avera	ge Or	Total	17	1.23	23.3	84	2.09	81.91								